

CHAPTER 7

NONPOINT SOURCE POLLUTION CONTROL

The following sections discuss general considerations for the control of stormwater pollution from the sources identified in Chapter 6 and present ~~some~~ specific recommendations for the ~~Port Ludlow Drainage District~~ Irondale & Port Hadlock UGA.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS IN URBAN STORMWATER ~~QUANTITY AND QUALITY CONTROL~~

~~Each issue discussed in the previous chapter for stormwater quantity and quality problems represents a classic stormwater quantity or quality management problem. Stormwater management solutions to alleviate the stormwater problem areas must be found from an engineering viewpoint. They must also comply with the current and proposed state and federal regulations as discussed in Chapter 2.~~

As the consequences of uncontrolled urban runoff have become more widely recognized and better understood, and as the alternatives available for control have increased, the complexity of stormwater management has grown. ~~There are, however, several general issue considerations may be identified that which~~ provide a framework for ~~considering~~ ingation of issues that affect the method in which the how Port Ludlow Drainage District Jefferson County should address ~~handles their its~~ stormwater management program. ~~The considerations are briefly discussed in the following paragraphs and include:~~

- Stormwater quality versus quantity control
- Construction phase versus long-term site operation phase
- Structural versus nonstructural controls
- Source control versus downstream treatment
- Control in new versus existing development
- ~~Special~~ sensitive area considerations

STORMWATER QUALITY VERSUS QUANTITY CONTROL

Stormwater management has traditionally been concerned with controlling ~~of~~ runoff quantities for the purpose of preventing flooding. Accordingly, most regulations and engineering design procedures ~~addressed~~ represent this concern. Recently, runoff water quality control has become an ~~added~~ concern due to the as it has been recognized that water quality goals often cannot be ~~met~~ realized solely through control of point sources of ~~water~~ pollution ~~alone~~.

Efforts at quantity and quality control ~~must are~~ confronted ~~with~~ the same basic task: predict the amount of runoff resulting under various conditions and provide sufficient storage capacity to achieve control objectives. In the case of quantity control, the objective is to release storm runoff at a rate that does not exceed stream channel capacity (which may not be the same as matching pre-development hydrologic conditions for a given site). For quality control the objective is to provide sufficient holding time for the effective operation of gravity settling or biochemical removal of pollutants. Because storage may benefit both quantity and quality, some of the same storage ~~technolog strategies, if correctly applied, can achieved vance~~ both goals. ~~This discussion will emphasize the achievement of dual water quantity and quality control goals wherever possible.~~

CONSTRUCTION PHASE VERSUS LONG-TERM SITE OPERATION PHASE

In general, ~~the types of potential~~ water quality problems ~~that occur during~~ differ ~~sufficiently between site~~ construction ~~differ from those that occur during and t~~he operation of a developed site. Therefore, these periods should be treated separately in stormwater management planning. At the same time, ~~there should be awareness that~~ some measures installed for the construction phase, ~~such as infiltration systems,~~ can be ~~used converted~~ ~~aste~~ permanent ~~facilities, but they service.~~ ~~Infiltration systems must be protected from~~ turbid and sediment-laden ~~runoff during from~~ construction activities.

STRUCTURAL VERSUS NONSTRUCTURAL CONTROLS

~~Control of water pollution from industrial and municipal discharges relies to a large extent on structural treatment devices. Grass Biofiltration (grass-lined) swales, oil/water separators, and wet ponds are could all be considered structural stormwater water quality treatment facilities devices. There are also Much greater opportunities may exist for nonstructural stormwater quality controls. Nonstructural approaches may include inge facility enhanced maintenance programs, site design, regulations, ppublic education involvement, and land use regulations controls controls, and other measures. The most effective stormwater quality control programs use an appropriate mix of structural and nonstructural control alternatives.~~

SOURCE CONTROL VERSUS ~~DOWNSTREAM T~~TREATMENT

~~While the distinction is not perfect, a s~~Source control ~~measures~~ generally prevents pollutants from coming into contact with stormwater ~~runoff. They are and is~~ located at the ~~site of potential site of~~ pollutant generation. ~~They include covering or enclosing pollutants such as oil or chemicals and covering bare soils to prevent rainfall from beginning erosion. , whereas downstream t~~Treatment ~~facilities remove pollutants from runoff. They are typically is~~ removed ~~somewhat~~ from the source. ~~They include swales, oil/water separators, and wet ponds. Source control measures (such as enclosing or~~

~~covering a pollutant source~~) are ~~typically~~usually applied at multiple locations, while a ~~downstream~~ treatment ~~measure~~facility ~~(such as an artificial wetland)~~ often receives drainage from more than one individual source. In the extreme case, a single downstream treatment structure (such as a regional detention pond) can serve a relatively large area.

Source control measures are discussed in Volume IV, Chapter 2 of the Stormwater Management Manual.

CONTROL IN NEW VERSUS EXISTING DEVELOPMENTS

New developments offer greater opportunities to apply stormwater management techniques than do existing developments. ~~In particular,~~ ~~retroactively~~ fitting structural techniques in existing developments is generally difficult and expensive, ~~if possible at all,~~ ~~in existing developments~~. ~~These~~ ~~measures~~ often ~~require~~take substantial land, which may not be available in built-out areas. However, existing development areas are frequently amenable to a variety of nonstructural approaches, ~~(such as modified maintenance practices or public education).~~

CONTROL OF ACUTE VERSUS CHRONIC IMPACTS

If antifreeze were poured into a catch basin near a creek, a fish kill might result; ~~this~~ ~~would be an example of an acute impact to water quality~~ ~~associated with the storm drainage system~~. ~~However~~ ~~Conversely,~~ ~~over time,~~ more devastating impacts to a creek ~~may~~could result over a long period of time from ~~the effects of~~ ~~loss of fish habitat~~ ~~associated with~~ erosion and siltation. This would be ~~termed~~ a chronic impact. Reducing acute and chronic impacts requires distinct ~~strategies in the overall~~ stormwater ~~quality~~ management ~~strategies~~program.

~~SPECIAL SENSITIVE AREAS~~ CONSIDERATIONS

~~Areas that are s~~ ~~Areas~~ sensitive to ~~the potential~~ impacts ~~from~~of urban stormwater include stream corridors, especially those with valuable fish habitat; flood plains; wetlands; steep slopes and groundwater aquifers. ~~Some~~ special considerations should be given to protecting these areas ~~in stormwater management apply to these areas. These considerations will be brought into the discussion as appropriate.~~

STORMWATER QUANTITY AND QUALITY CONTROL: STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES

Stormwater quantity and quality ~~c~~ ~~Stormwater management alternatives for the control of the quantity of stormwater runoff and the quality of the runoff~~ are not mutually exclusive. The outdated method of designing stormwater conveyance systems that relied on curb

and gutters to transport stormwater directly into pipes which discharged the stormwater directly into a stream, river or lake provided little in the way of stormwater quantity control and nothing in the way of ~~stormwater~~ quality control. As citizens, municipalities and designers are becoming more aware of the damaging effects of stormwater quantity and quality, the line between stormwater management alternatives which are strictly concerned with quantity issues and those concerned strictly with quality issues is becoming blurred. ~~In ¶~~The remainder of this Chapter discusses stormwater management alternatives; which will serve to limit the quantity of stormwater runoff and improve the quality of the runoff; ~~will be discussed~~.

The quantity of ~~stormwater~~ runoff can be controlled by storage and regulated release ~~of stormwater~~ or by site controls. Storage and regulated release ~~of stormwater~~ includes systems such as detention vaults or ponds with stormwater release orifices.

Site controls can minimize the quantity of stormwater released as well as provide water quality benefits. Site controls ~~are~~ generally ~~those controls that attempt to red~~ reduce runoff ~~rate and volume~~ at or near the point where the rainfall hits the ground surface. The following ~~are common~~ types of site controls ~~are common~~:

- Low Impact Development
- Infiltration devices, such as trenches and basins
- Minimizing directly connected impervious area
- Storage and regulated release
- ~~Minimization of directly connected impervious area~~
- Swales and filter strips
- Porous pavement and parking blocks
- Infiltration devices, such as trenches and basins

LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT

~~Low impact development is one method for controlling stormwater on a site.~~ The primary goal of low impact development ~~methods~~ is to mimic the predevelopment site hydrology by using site design techniques that store, infiltrate, evaporate, and detain runoff. ~~Use of t~~ These techniques ~~helps to~~ reduce ~~off-site~~ runoff and ~~increase~~ ensure ~~adequate~~ groundwater recharge. The *Puget Sound Water Quality Management Plan* ~~mentioned in Chapter 2~~ recommends that low impact development include the following:

- Maintain the pre-developed, undisturbed stormwater flows and water quality;
- Retain native vegetation and soils to intercept, evaporate and transpire stormwater on the site, (rather than using ~~traditional~~ ponds and conveyances);
- Maintain and improve ~~Emphasize a higher standard of~~ soil quality ~~in disturbed soils (by using compost and other methods) in order~~ to improve infiltration ~~and~~; reduce runoff ~~and protect water quality~~;
- Cluster development and roads on the site and retain natural features that promote infiltration; and
- Reduce impervious surface area and use permeable surfaces instead.

~~Management practices used to accomplish~~ low impact development ~~measures~~ goals include bio-retention facilities, dry wells, filter/buffer strips, grass swales, rain barrels, preserving topsoil, amending soils, eisternscisterns, and/or infiltration trenches. ~~Low impact development is an efficient method at decreasing the amount of runoff associated with developing a site. However, a~~ As with many practices, maintenance in low impact developments is ~~essential a concern~~ and should be addressed prior to implementation.

INFILTRATION DEVICES

Infiltration devices are those stormwater quality control measures that completely capture runoff from the design storm and allow it to infiltrate it into the ground. The DOE Washington State Department of Ecology Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington provides design and sizing guidance in Chapter 7 of Volume V (Runoff Treatment BMPs). Infiltration systems provide groundwater recharge and pollutant removal, can be integrated into a site's landscaped and open areas, and if designed properly, can serve larger developments. Infiltration devices should be used only in situations where the captured volume of water can infiltrate into the ground before the next storm and where soils, slope, and cover will not promote sloughing and mass wasting (landslides). Infiltration systems in the Port Ludlow area may only be used if tests reveal that sufficient permeability exists within the soil.

Infiltration devices can be divided-classified into one of two categories: above-ground infiltration basins and buried infiltration trenches.

An infiltration basin is made by constructing an embankment or by excavating in or down to relatively permeable soils. The basin will temporarily stores stormwater until it infiltrates through the bottom and sides of the system. The infiltration "basin" can actually be a landscaped depression within open areas or even a recreational area such as a soccer field. Infiltration basins generally serve areas ranging from a front yard to a 50-acre area.

Infiltration basins can be constructed on-line or off-line with respect to the normal drainage path. When a basin is located on-line, it will capture the water quality design storm entirely. When a larger storm occurs, runoff overflows the basin, which then serves as a detention pond for those larger events.

Off-line infiltration basins are designed to divert the more polluted first flush of stormwater out of the normal path and hold it for later water quality treatment. When the infiltration basin reaches capacity, the flow path for any additional stormwater returns to normal and is managed for drainage and flood control. The diverted first flush is not discharged to surface water. It is stored and gradually removed by infiltration, evaporation, and evapotranspiration. This is the most effective practice for enhancing the quality of stormwater. It also helps to reduce stormwater volume and to recharge groundwater.

Infiltration trenches, which can be located on the surface of the ground or buried beneath the surface, are usually designed to serve areas ranging up to from individual lots to five to ten acres in size and are especially appropriate in an urban areas, where land costs are very high. An infiltration trench generally consists of a long, narrow excavation, ranging from three to twelve feet in depth, which is backfilled with stone aggregate, allowing for the temporary storage of the first flush stormwater in the voids between the aggregate material. Stored runoff then infiltrates into the surrounding soil through either the trench

bottom or the sides., depending on the elevation of the water table and soil properties. Soil type is also an important consideration, since coarse soils overlying a shallow aquifer may provide a direct route for pollutants to contaminate groundwater.

There are two major types of trenches, surface trenches, and underground trenches. The major differences between the two involve the amount of stormwater that can be handled and the ease of maintenance.

Surface trenches receive sheet-flow runoff directly from adjacent areas after a grass buffer has filtered the runoff. They are typically used in residential areas where relatively small loads of sediment and oil can be trapped in grass filter strips at least 20 feet wide. Sediments can clog infiltration devices. Once these devices are clogged, rehabilitation of the infiltrative surfaces requires significant effort.

Underground trenches can be used in many development situations, although discretion must be exercised in their application. While underground trenches can accept runoff from storm sewers, they require installation of special inlets to prevent coarse sediment and oils and greases from clogging the stone reservoir. These inlets should include trash racks, catch basins, and baffles to reduce blockage by sediment, leaves, debris, and oils and greases. In addition, pretreatment by routing the flow over grassed filter strips or vegetated swales is essential to protect the groundwaterinfiltration trench.

If properly constructed, with pretreatment practices in place to prevent heavy sediment loading, infiltration trenches can provide stormwater benefits without tremendous maintenance requirements. Since trenches are usually "out of sight, out of mind," getting property owners to maintain them can be difficult. Accordingly, a public commitment for regular inspection of privately owned trenches is essential, as are legally binding maintenance agreements and education of owners about the function and maintenance needs of trenches.

Inspection of trenches should occur frequently within the first few months of operation and once per year thereafter. Such inspections should be done after large storms, in order to check for water-ponding. Water levels in the observation wells should be recorded over several days to check drawdown. In addition, grass buffer strips should maintain a dense, vigorous growth of vegetation, which should receive regular mowing (with bagging of grass clippings) as needed. Finally, pretreatment devices should be checked periodically and cleaned when the sediment reduces available capacity by more than 10%.

MINIMIZING STORAGE AND REGULATED RELEASE

Storage and regulated release of stormwater is not fully practiced throughout the Port Ludlow Drainage District, although detention does occur in the form of ponding in yards,
Jefferson County

7-7

vacant lots, and ditches. Storage and regulated release of stormwater requires the installation of detention systems to insure that the rate of stormwater runoff leaving the site for the design storm event during the post-development condition is no greater than the pre-development rate for the same design storm event. This method of stormwater control minimizes downstream impact on the existing conveyance system.

Detention systems can be either wet or dry systems. Detention systems are widely used for runoff quantity control. However, if wet detention systems are properly sized they can act as effective runoff quality control devices as well.

A wet detention basin consists of 1) a permanent water pool, 2) an overlying zone with capacity to temporarily store the design runoff volume for release at the allowed peak discharge rate, and 3) a shallow littoral zone (the biological filter), which serves to treat the permanent volume between storm events. The permanent water pool volume and the vegetated littoral zone are of utmost importance for water quality enhancement. Wet detention ponds are often used in series with swale interconnectors. If properly designed and maintained, wet detention ponds can provide not only effective flood and water quality protection, but also ancillary benefits, such as enhanced aesthetics and wildlife habitat.

The removal of stormwater pollutants in a wet detention system is accomplished by a number of physical, ~~chemical~~ chemical, and biological processes. Gravity settling removes particles through the physical process of sedimentation. Chemical flocculation occurs when heavier sediment particles overtake and coalesce with smaller, lighter particles to form still larger particles. Biological removal of dissolved stormwater pollutants includes uptake by aquatic plants and metabolism by phytoplankton and microorganisms that inhabit the bottom sediments.

Dry detention basins are the most common type of detention basin used around the country for peak flow attenuation. Dry detention systems perform very poorly as treatment devices for runoff. This is primarily due to short residence time and the fact that these basins do not remove any dissolved pollutants.

Design, ~~sizing~~ sizing, and maintenance criteria for detention facilities can be found in Chapter 3 of the DOE *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*.

DIRECTLY CONNECTED IMPERVIOUS AREA

Directly connected impervious area (DCIA) is defined as the impermeable area that drains directly to ~~the a improved~~ drainage system ~~such as, i.e.,~~ paved gutter, improved ditch, or pipe. ~~The m~~Minimization of DCIA ~~is an effective method of runoff quantity and quality control because it~~ delays the concentration of flows into the improved drainage system and maximizes the opportunity for rainfall to infiltrate at or near the point at which it falls. Figure 7-1 illustrates the difference between an area where the DCIA is extensive and one where DCIA has been minimized. The residential lot on the north side of the street has all impervious areas on the lot draining directly to the gutter. This drainage plan allows no opportunity for ~~rainwater falling on the impervious surfaces~~ to infiltrate into the ground. ~~I~~; in fact, the system is laid out so that ~~the rain falling on the impervious areas~~ is quickly concentrated and drained to the gutter. The result is a greatly increased peak runoff rate and runoff volume compared to the pre-development condition. The pollutants contained in the runoff from the ~~rooftop, driveway, sidewalk~~ and street ~~are simply collected in the gutter and~~ must be dealt with ~~at some location~~ further down in the drainage system.

In contrast, the drainage layout for the lot on the south side of the street has been designed to minimize DCIA. All impervious areas drain to a ~~p~~ervious area before they reach the grassed swale that serves as the primary conveyance facility for runoff from the lot. The roof runoff drains to the lawn and sheet-flows across it, the driveway is sloped to drain to the lawn instead of the street, ~~T~~and the sidewalk and the street sheet-flow across a grass filter strip before reaching the ~~water in the~~ grassed swale. All of these techniques combine to provide maximum opportunity for infiltration and ~~slowing for retardation of~~ the runoff rate. This approach to drainage system layout, which emphasizes peak-flow reduction and pollutant capture, is ~~called~~ stormwater management, in contrast with the north lot design, which is simply a drainage plan.

The majority of residences in ~~Port Ludlow~~ Irondale & Port Hadlock, particularly the older homes, have been constructed with minimal DCIA. Commercial development and more recent multiple housing tends to exhibit greater DCIA. ~~In the f~~uture, development within the ~~Port LUGA udlow~~ area should address this issue.

STORAGE AND REGULATED RELEASE

Storage and regulated release of stormwater is not generally practiced throughout the Irondale & Port Hadlock UGA, although detention does occur in the form of ponding in yards, vacant lots, and ditches. Storage and regulated release of stormwater requires the installation of detention systems to insure that the rate of stormwater runoff leaving the site for the design storm event during the post-development condition is no greater than

the pre-development rate for the same design storm event. This method of stormwater control minimizes downstream impact on the existing conveyance system.

Detention systems can be either wet or dry systems. Detention systems are widely used for runoff quantity control. However, if wet detention systems are properly sized they can act as effective runoff quality control devices as well.

A wet detention basin consists of: 1) a permanent water pool, 2) an overlying zone with capacity to temporarily store the design runoff volume for release at the allowed peak discharge rate, and 3) a shallow littoral zone (the biological filter), which serves to treat the permanent volume between storm events. The permanent water pool volume and the vegetated littoral zone are ~~of utmost~~ importance for water quality enhancement. Wet detention ponds are often used in series with swale interconnectors. If properly designed and maintained, wet detention ponds can provide not only effective flood and water quality protection, but also ancillary benefits, such as enhanced aesthetics and wildlife habitat.

The removal of stormwater pollutants in a wet detention system is accomplished by a number of physical, chemical, and biological processes. Gravity ~~settling~~ removes particles through the physical process of sedimentation. Chemical flocculation occurs when heavier sediment particles overtake and coalesce with smaller, lighter particles to form still larger particles. Biological removal of dissolved stormwater pollutants includes uptake by aquatic plants and metabolism by phytoplankton and microorganisms that inhabit the bottom sediments.

Dry detention basins are the most common type of detention basin ~~used around the country~~ for peak-flow attenuation. Dry detention systems perform very poorly as ~~runoff treatment devices~~ ~~for runoff~~. This is primarily due to short residence time and the fact that these basins do not remove any dissolved pollutants.

Design, sizing, and maintenance criteria for detention facilities can be found in Chapter 3 of the DOE *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*.

SWALES AND FILTER STRIPS

Swales, ~~or grassed waterways~~, and filter strips are among the oldest stormwater ~~quality~~ control measures, having been used alongside streets and highways, as well as ~~by the farmers~~, for many years. A swale is a shallow trench that has the following characteristics:

- Side slopes flatter than three feet horizontally to one foot vertically.
- Contiguous areas of standing or flowing water only following rainfall.

- Planted with or containing vegetation suitable for soil stabilization, stormwater treatment, and nutrient uptake.

A filter strip is simply a strip of land across which stormwater from a street, parking lot, rooftop, etc., sheet-flows over before entering adjacent receiving waters.

For small storms, both swales and filter strips remove pollutants from stormwater by 1) slowing the water and settling or filtering out solids as the water travels over the grassed area and 2) allowing infiltration into the underlying soil. Heavy metals are typically trapped in the upper regions of the soil column. In addition, the vegetation tends to function as fixed media to support growth of microorganisms, which can break down dilute concentrations of organics such as oil residues. Low velocity and shallow depth are key design criteria. In general, the higher the flow rate, the lower the efficiency. ~~Thus, low velocity and shallow depth are key design criteria.~~ A swale designed with a low bottom slope and check dams will perform much more efficiently than one without check dams. Raised driveway culverts can be effective as swale check dams. For maximum efficiency of pollutant removal during small storms, a trapezoidal swale with as large a bottom width as can be fitted into the site plan is desirable, since this will maximize the amount of runoff in contact with the vegetation and soil.

Design equations for swales and filter strips can be found in Chapter 3 of the DOE *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*.

Maintenance ~~of both of~~ these ~~devices~~ facilities is an important consideration; ~~for reasons of both~~ for aesthetics and hydraulic efficiency. In the case of the swale, care must be taken to insure that flows through a swale used for drainage purposes during large storms are not impeded by ~~an~~ overgrowth ~~with of~~ vegetation. To prevent this, the vegetation planted in the channel should be suitable for mowing, and the channel designed so that mowing machines can be easily and efficiently operated along the swale. The swale should be mowed on a regular basis. For filter strips that are not part of the drainageway during large storms, maintenance is purely an aesthetic matter. These strips can be planted in grass and mowed, or natural vegetation can be used. Ground cover must be sufficiently dense to keep the overland flow from channeling and eroding rivulets through the filter strip.

PARKING BLOCKS

Parking blocks are a very effective site-control device. Parking blocks are hollow concrete blocks similar to but smaller than those used in construction. In commercial parking lots, the use of parking blocks in the less frequently used areas will give them an attractive appearance and will considerably reduce runoff quantity, flow rates, and pollution. This is also true for private driveways and parking areas where more than half of the area is used less than 20 percent of the time.

Parking blocks are put in place in rows, with soil surrounding each one. Soil areas are planted with appropriate vegetation. Runoff quantity reduction occurs as infiltration takes place in the planted areas. Greater flow resistance of the grassed areas retards the runoff rate, especially during small storms. Finally, the quality of the runoff is much enhanced over that from a normal parking lot because the pollutants, restrained by the vegetation matrix, will be more difficult to wash off than if they were simply lying on asphalt or concrete. Entrapped heavy metals are typically contained in the upper soil column, while microorganisms attached to the vegetation can act to break down low concentrations of organic pollutants.

In designing a parking block area, the block manufacturer should be consulted to determine the most suitable sub-base to use. Also, only the actual parking spaces should be paved with the blocks, since they do not hold up well under traffic. The traffic lanes through the lot should be paved in the normal fashion.

INFILTRATION DEVICES

~~Infiltration devices are those stormwater quality control measures that completely capture runoff from the design storm and allow it to infiltrate into the ground. The DOE Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington provides design and sizing guidance in Chapter 7 of Volume V (Runoff Treatment BMPs). Infiltration systems provide groundwater recharge and pollutant removal, can be integrated into a site's landscaped and open areas, and if designed properly, can serve larger developments. Infiltration devices should be used only in situations where the captured volume of water can infiltrate into the ground before the next storm and where soils, slope, and cover will not promote sloughing and mass wasting (landslides). Infiltration systems in the Port Ludlow area may only be used if tests reveal that sufficient permeability exists within the soil.~~

~~Infiltration devices can be classified into one of two categories: above-ground infiltration basins and buried infiltration trenches.~~

An infiltration basin is made by constructing an embankment or by excavating in or down to relatively permeable soils. The basin will temporarily store stormwater until it infiltrates through the bottom and sides of the system. The infiltration "basin" can actually be a landscaped depression within open areas or even a recreational area such as a soccer field. Infiltration basins generally serve areas ranging from a front yard to a 50-acre area.

Infiltration basins can be constructed on-line or off-line with respect to the normal drainage path. When a basin is located on-line, it will capture the water quality design storm entirely. When a larger storm occurs, runoff overflows the basin, which then serves as a detention pond for those larger events.

Off-line infiltration basins are designed to divert the more polluted first flush of stormwater out of the normal path and hold it for later water quality treatment. When the infiltration basin reaches capacity, the flow path for any additional stormwater returns to normal and is managed for drainage and flood control. The diverted first flush is not discharged to surface water. It is stored and gradually removed by infiltration, evaporation, and evapotranspiration. This is the most effective practice for enhancing the quality of stormwater. It also helps to reduce stormwater volume and to recharge groundwater.

Infiltration trenches, which can be located on the surface of the ground or buried beneath the surface, are usually designed to serve areas ranging up to five to ten acres in size and are especially appropriate in an urban area, where land costs are very high. An infiltration trench generally consists of a long, narrow excavation, ranging from three to twelve feet in depth, which is backfilled with stone aggregate, allowing for the temporary storage of the first flush stormwater in the voids between the aggregate material. Stored runoff then infiltrates into the surrounding soil through either the trench bottom or the sides, depending on the elevation of the water table and soil properties. Soil type is also a consideration, since coarse soils overlying a shallow aquifer may provide a direct route for pollutants to contaminate groundwater.

There are two major types of trenches, surface ~~trenches~~ trenches, and underground trenches. The major differences between the two involve the amount of stormwater that can be handled and the ease of maintenance.

Surface ~~trenches~~ receive sheet flow runoff directly from adjacent areas after a grass buffer has filtered the runoff. They are typically used in residential areas where relatively small loads of sediment and oil can be trapped in grass filter strips at least 20 feet wide. Sediments can clog infiltration devices. Once these devices are clogged, rehabilitation of the infiltrative surfaces requires significant effort.

Underground trenches can be used in many development situations, although discretion must be exercised in their application. While underground trenches can accept runoff from storm sewers, they require installation of special inlets to prevent coarse sediment and oils and greases from clogging the stone reservoir. These inlets should include trash racks, catch basins, and baffles to reduce blockage by sediment, leaves, debris, and oils and greases. In addition, pretreatment by routing the flow over grassed filter strips or vegetated swales is essential to protect the infiltration trench.

If properly constructed, with pretreatment practices in place to prevent heavy sediment loading, infiltration trenches can provide stormwater benefits without tremendous maintenance requirements. Since trenches are usually "out of sight, out of mind," getting property owners to maintain them can be difficult. Accordingly, a public commitment for regular inspection of privately owned trenches is essential, as are legally binding maintenance agreements and education of owners about the function and maintenance needs of trenches.

Inspection of trenches should occur frequently within the first few months of operation and once per year thereafter. Such inspections should be done after large storms, in order to check for water ponding. Water levels in the observation wells should be recorded over several days to check drawdown. In addition, grass buffer strips should maintain a dense, vigorous growth of vegetation, which should receive regular mowing (with bagging of grass clippings) as needed. Finally, pretreatment devices should be checked

periodically and cleaned when the sediment reduces available capacity by more than 10%.

Structural Alternatives

Considerations for Structural Alternatives

The incorporation of runoff quality controls into urban landscape design is more an art than a science. However, if the design is developed with the following concepts in mind, a good water quality management system will result.

- Design runoff quality controls to capture small storms.
- Design to maximize sediment removal, and removal of other pollutants will generally be good.
- The most effective method for reducing urban runoff pollution is to minimize directly connected impervious area (DCIA).
- Infiltration devices are most efficient but are most difficult to maintain, and may not be used on sites with poor soil conditions.
- Dry detention is easiest to design and operate, but efficiency can be low.
- Wet detention is more difficult to design but more efficient than dry detention, and often more aesthetic.

With thoughtful planning and careful design, cost-effective runoff quality controls can be integrated into urban development plans to achieve the required level of pollutant reduction with minimal negative impact on aesthetics. The aesthetic character of a development site can often be enhanced by properly integrating runoff quality controls into the site plan.

STORMWATER QUANTITY AND QUALITY CONTROL: NON-STRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES

~~Stormwater Mmanagement of a stormwater system ec~~ can be improved through by strengthening various areas of Districthe use of t County administration. The administrative issues, also termed non-structural alternatives issues, embrace a wide variety of measures, which include source controls.

~~Non-structural stormwater management alternatives includeing:~~

- Facility Inspection and MMaintenance pPrograms
- Staff tTraining

- Changes to the County municipal Unified Development eCodes or regulations
- Enforcement actions for non-compliance with stormwater regulations
- Public education
- Water Quality Monitoring and Stream Gauging
- Stormwater Best Management Practices

Source control measures are designed to minimize or eliminate contact of pollutants with stormwater at the site of origin. Regulation of development, such as requiring the enclosure of a pollutant source, physically segregating the pollutant source to prevent run-on of uncontaminated water and connecting directly to sanitary sewers are forms of source control. A requirement for erosion and sedimentation control during construction is a source control method for reducing pollutant load to receiving waters. Source control methods also include education of the public to prevent disposal of yard wastes, household chemicals, and motor oil into drainage facilities. Source control measures that District County staff can implement include the BMPs discussed in Volume IV Chapter 2 of the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* for landscaping and lawn/vegetation management; maintenance and repair of vehicles and equipment; maintenance of public and private utilities; and maintenance of roadside ditches and urban streets.

FACILITY INSPECTION AND ~~IES~~ MAINTENANCE PROGRAM

The objective of a stormwater maintenance program is to assure the continued functioning reliability and dependability of the stormwater management facilities system. A complete maintenance program includes more than the physical tasks of cleaning catch basins, pipes and open ditches; maintenance of vegetation in biological treatment structures; and proper disposal of debris from the maintenance activities. Maintenance programs also involve management items such as completing and maintaining a facilities inventory, including updating a base map, scheduling inspections and maintenance activities scheduling, assessing costs for contract maintenance versus staff maintenance, and record keeping.

In order to perform inspections and maintenance at the appropriate time, a budget, staff, and priority schedule needs to be established. Certain types of maintenance are more important than others. It is important that catch basins and conveyance facilities be inspected before the wet season to assure that debris has not blocked a channel or taken up capacity in a manhole. Street sweeping in the fall is important because leaves block catch basin grates, which could result in overland flow across private property or flooding of roadways. Loss of vegetative cover in treatment swales and filter strips during summer drought conditions can result in reduced effectiveness during the “first flush” of autumn storms.

Reports and record keeping are important feedback mechanisms that enable management to compare actual versus planned costs, production, and efficiency. Reports

provide a database for improved budgeting and resource allocation. Records and reports should include man-hours, equipment hours, materials ~~used~~used, and the unit of work completed.

Maintenance control establishes accountability for specific results within a specific time frame and budget. The maintenance program needs a control hierarchy to establish a chain of command to complete the work.

~~Appendix D~~The following section identifies the requirements and guidelines for maintaining stormwater facilities. ~~It~~This appendix includes a table that describes potential problems and the necessary corrective actions for typical stormwater treatment, ~~detention~~detention, and conveyance facilities. The table also identifies a recommended period of time between routine ~~inspections and routine~~ maintenance activities. Of course, as these facilities are maintained the need may arise for maintenance at a level more (or less) than these typical values. It should also be noted that at the time of facility installation, the District-County should request a manual describing specific maintenance necessary for the facility. This, coupled with a routine schedule, will help ensure proper maintenance of the facility.

One item of critical importance is ~~the County District's diligence in~~ inspecting privately owned ~~and maintained~~ facilities to ensure that they are properly maintained. Jefferson County does not currently perform this function. The County does require developers to enter into a Stormwater Management Facility Maintenance Agreement with the County. The developer agrees to maintain the facility as per the approved plans. This Agreement could form the basis for an inspection program.

Some jurisdictions provide stormwater utility credits or refunds for those private facilities that are properly and routinely maintained. ~~The Port Ludlow Drainage Jefferson County District~~ does not currently provide for this. Therefore, with no financial incentive, it is even more critical that the County District performs these inspections and issues notices of inspections to those private parties who are not maintaining their facilities. Because the proper operation and maintenance of stormwater facilities benefits the public as a whole, the County District should utilize innovative solutions to accomplish the goals of stormwater management in those cases where a private entity will not, or cannot, maintain their facility, rather than enacting civil penalties for the sake of punishment. It is highly recommended that the County District seek easements for those portions of the system that lie outside of the right-of-way.

The various stormwater facilities that require maintenance are described below.

1) Street Sweeping

Streets with concrete curb and gutter or thickened edges are part of the stormwater conveyance system. All streets accumulate vehicular emission

particles, silt, and, leaves and other debris and pollutants that could enter the stormwater conveyance system. Street sweeping (not washing) is an important maintenance item to reduce pollution in the receiving waters and to reduce the potential for blocking of the conveyance system. High efficiency street sweepers are recommended due to the fact that they have evolved into a useful technique for picking up small particulates, which accumulate pollutants along County District streets. Street sweeping is recommended at least once per year in the fall, after the leaves have fallen.

2)

Catch Basin Cleaning

Catch basins in the ~~County District~~ include types with and without sumps. Sumps are important features that allow deposition of particulate matter carried in the stormwater. When sumps become filled to 60 percent of their volume, the efficiency of silt removal diminishes significantly. All catch basins should be inspected at least twice per year. Once a maintenance program is in place, the ~~District~~County will be able to develop a history on particular areas to determine which basins require more frequent attention. Catch basins are normally cleaned with a vacuum truck that removes the sediment from the basin. This sediment must be disposed of properly into an appropriate disposal site. Jefferson County Public Works currently uses the Port Hadlock maintenance facility (approximately 10 miles away). For the purposes of this plan, catch basin cleaning is estimated to be required an average of twice a year.

3) Pipe Cleaning

Pipes in the ~~District~~UGA vary in size from ~~8~~12-inch to ~~24~~36-inch diameter. Pipe types include concrete, corrugated metal and HDPE. All pipes should be inspected annually and cleaned, at a minimum, every third year. A vacuum system is recommended for cleaning. If pipe flushing is used, adequate downstream siltation control must be in place.

4) Open Ditch Cleaning

Some roads ~~in the Port Ludlow Drainage District~~ are drained by means of roadside ditches. Ditches and swales can provide biofiltration, if vegetation is allowed to remain within the channel and on the sides. The primary pollutant removal mechanism of a bioswale (or ditch) involves filtration by grass blades, which enhance sedimentation, as well as trapping and adhesion of pollutants to the grass and thatch. To be most effective, the vegetation within the ditch should be cut down to a height between 2 and 6 inches. Swales can be cleaned by the use of a horizontal auger. Ditches should be cleaned twice a year, preferably during the summer months to allow vegetation to grow back before the rainy season. The edges of the ditches should be mowed four times a year.

5) Detention System Cleaning

Upon installation of a detention system the ~~County District~~ should request a manual regarding specific maintenance requirements for facilities such as detention ponds. At a minimum, when detention systems are installed ~~in the District~~, they should be monitored annually for sediment accumulation. Removal of accumulated sediment is anticipated to be required once every five years.

6) ~~Future~~ Oil/Water Separators

Oil/water separators must be maintained in order to be effective. If deposited material is not removed on a periodic basis; it may be flushed downstream by winter storms. Inspection of oil/water separators should be scheduled bimonthly and maintenance cleaning scheduled at least annually and more frequently if required.

7) Biofiltration Swales

The grass in the swale should be mowed periodically to keep it at the proper height. If the grass is damaged, for example by drought or storms, it should be replaced. Leaves, grass clippings, and other debris should be removed.

All components of the stormwater system should be inspected at least twice per year. Additional inspections may be warranted in problem areas and also in areas where land development is occurring, due to the potential for erosion and sedimentation. Routine maintenance should be performed on all components based on these inspections. In general, most jurisdictions do not provide an appropriate level of maintenance for all portions of their system. Maintenance is often reactive, rather than proactive.

Several benefits can be realized by maintaining all portions of the stormwater system. With a well maintained system better treatment and flow control is accomplished, the public recognizes a well run maintenance program, it becomes easier to identify problems and resolve complaints, and problems such as flooding, icing of roadways, and damage to the system are minimized.

STAFF TRAINING

A fundamental part of the stormwater program includes training for ~~District~~ personnel on how to address stormwater issues. The ~~County District~~ should ensure that the ~~District~~ staff is well trained on how to inspect and maintain best management stormwater practices as outlined in Section 4.6 of the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*. At a minimum, staff should be educated on how to maintain catch basins, detention ponds and control structures, bioswales/ditches, Stormfilter vaults, and any other best management practices implemented within the ~~District~~UGA. Staff shall also be knowledgeable in identifying pollutant sources and in understanding pollutant control measures, spill response procedures, and environmentally acceptable material handling practices. Ecology's "Stormwater Pollution Prevention Planning for Industrial Facilities" (WQ-R-93-015, 9/93) may be used as a training reference. The ~~utilities~~County Engineer or Road sSupervisor ~~should~~may be designated as responsible for setting up training for

new employees regarding these issues. Renewal training for all employees on a biannual basis is recommended as well. Currently Jefferson County Public Works maintains and operated drainage facilities system within public County road rights-of-way. The Washington Department of Transportation is responsible for facilities in State Highway rights-of-way. The County is also responsible for inspection of private facilities within the UGA.

Personnel must also be well trained on sediment and erosion control issues so they can properly investigate and advise contractors regarding problem areas during construction. Staff members should be certified through the “Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control Certification Course” offered ~~thought the year~~ by the Associated General Contractors of Washington Education Foundation or an approved equivalent. Equivalent certificates include:

- WSDOT certification in Construction Site Erosion and Sediment Control.
- Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC) offered by the International Erosion Control Association (IECA).

Erosion and sediment control certification for staff members should be renewed every three years. Jefferson County currently provides this training to road maintenance and engineering personnel.

REVISIONS CHANGES TO THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT MUNICIPAL CODES AND REGULATIONS

The ~~f~~ederal, ~~state~~State, and local rules, ~~regulations~~regulations, and guidelines that govern stormwater have been discussed in Chapter 2 of this document. The Jefferson County Stormwater Requirements Unified Development Code should be revised as necessary used as

~~In order to consolidate the various regulations and policy directives, a proposed Stormwater Management Ordinance specific to the Port Ludlow Drainage District is included in Appendix B. The proposed ordinance provides the ability for the District to apply the DOE Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington as well as items that are specific to the region. Adoption of this ordinance will provide the District with a comprehensive technical to ensure that support document for implementing erosion and sedimentation control facilities on development sites, allow establishment of technical requirements for best management practices (BMPs), and provide design criteria for structural stormwater management facilities it provides adequate regulation of stormwater management.~~

ENFORCEMENT

~~District s~~Staffing levels must be sufficient to monitor construction activity, respond to ~~storm~~surface-water complaints, and provide periodic inspection of private stormwater treatment facilities such as oil/water separators and detention facilities. County Existing staff should document the hours spent on site inspections, together with the frequency of inspection of construction sites and private stormwater facilities. From these records and the records of time spent responding to complaints, an understanding of the adequacy of the current staffing level can be gained.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND EDUCATION AND OUTREACH

An important element of a stormwater management plan is public ~~involvement and~~ education and outreach. The involvement of the public is necessary to insure the overall success of the stormwater management plan. For the public to be motivated to participate in stormwater management it must first be made aware of the existing stormwater and surface water problems, what role the public has in causing ~~these surface water~~ problems, and what can be done about them.

General Public Activity

The ~~general~~ public must also be made aware of how their normal activities affect stormwater quality and quantity. Most citizens believe that stormwater management is someone else's problem. In order to educate the public it ~~is~~will be necessary to identify

those subjects that have local relevance and then design a program that addresses those issues. The following is the outline of a public education and outreach program.

~~Public education programs in the Port Ludlow area should focus on the following issues:~~

- ~~Voluntary ditch maintenance~~
- ~~Catch basin stenciling~~
- ~~Citizen hotline~~
- ~~Oil recycling center~~
- ~~Newsletter articles~~
- ~~Signs at stream crossings~~
- ~~Neighborhood compost bin~~

|

~~1) Voluntary Ditch Maintenance~~

~~A voluntary drainage ditch maintenance program should be established that encourages property owners to mow and otherwise maintain the drainage ditches adjacent to their properties. Local groups, clubs, and service organizations can be recruited to provide maintenance for drainage features, which have a more community wide significance. The efforts will need to be coordinated by the District, which must also provide a clearinghouse where information can be stored and distributed. The goal of the program is to insure that drainage ditches are maintained in a condition, which insures that ditches will be able to carry the full design capacity of stormwater when needed. The District may wish to encourage the County to adopt an ordinance that requires property owners to maintain the ditches adjacent to their property. Such an ordinance would be similar to sidewalk maintenance ordinances used by other cities.~~

~~1) 2) Catch Basin Stenciling~~

~~A program that encourages citizens and local service groups to stencil catch basins is needed to discourage the dumping of oil or other harmful substances and to inform citizens that materials dumped in the catch basins end up in waterbodies. The goal of this program is to have 100% coverage of catch basin stenciling.~~

~~Many if not most, people are unaware that storm drains usually discharge into nearby surface waters. By stenciling all catch basins within the District with an appropriate warning, citizens will be made aware that anything dumped into a catch basin will soon enter the Ludlow Bay.~~

~~2) 3) Oil Recycling Center~~

~~3)~~

~~This program will encourage a local business to become a drop-off point for waste oil to be recycled. The general public must be made aware of the location and hours for the local recycling station and the procedures for disposing of waste oil at the station. Waste oil is collected by Jefferson County Public Works solid waste and recycling program at Hadlock recycling center.~~

~~The goal of this program will be to provide a suitable destination for waste oil. This will serve to provide alternatives to other practices that have been used in the past, such as dumping of waste oil down storm drains. An effort should be made to coordinate the establishment of the waste oil recycling center with other nearby jurisdictions.~~

|

~~4)~~ ~~4)~~ Newsletter

~~A community newsletter that addresses stormwater issues should be published. The newsletter can include articles containing relevant information of local interest to help citizens eliminate or minimize stormwater quantity or quality problems.~~

~~The goal of this program will be to place issues concerning activities affecting the watershed before citizens in a timely manner. Issues to be addressed include:~~

- ~~• Composting~~
- ~~• Fertilization practices~~
- ~~• Hazard household waste disposal~~
- ~~• Waste oil recycling~~
- ~~• Pesticide use~~
- ~~• Ditch maintenance~~
- ~~• Sensitive area protection~~
- ~~• Waterfowl feeding (adverse effects)~~
- ~~• Wetlands protection/maintenance~~
- ~~□ Citizen hotline~~

~~An expected impact of this portion of the plan is to provide residents timely reminders of the role they play and the effect they have on water quality in the watershed.~~

~~5)~~ ~~5)~~ Citizen Hotline

~~This portion of the program will establish and publish a phone number for use by citizens to report activities that could cause water quality problems. It would also be used for reporting surface water quality problems.~~

~~The goal of this program is to reduce the amount and types of external loading on local streams and water bodies. The impact of this program will be to reduce stormwater impacts and to assure that appropriate education of enforcement actions are undertaken.~~

~~6)~~ ~~6)~~ Signs at Drainage Channel Crossings

~~This program will provide signs at the locations where roadways cross drainage channels. These signs are intended to mark the location of stormwater drainage features to provide an ongoing reminder to citizens of the community's efforts to~~

~~improve and control surface water pollution. The goal of this program is to increase the public awareness of and familiarity with surface water resources.~~

~~7) — 7) — Neighborhood Compost Bin~~

~~This program will survey available sites with the intent of establishing a community compost bin. The compost bin, when established, will provide a site for disposal of yard wastes for residents without sufficient space for a residential compost bin or for those whose properties are unsuitable for such use. The District will maintain and manage the compost bin and use the resulting compost in the District parks and public places.~~

~~The goal of this program is to insure that all yard wastes are disposed of in an environmentally sound manner. Side benefits of this program include the reduction of the quantity of yard wastes sent to landfills and provision of a source of landscaping material for the District.~~

Port Hadlock UGA Stormwater Management Plan Public Education and Outreach Program

Background

The Irondale and Port Hadlock Urban Growth Area is located in proximity to two important and vulnerable aquatic resources, Chimacum Creek and Port Townsend Bay. In addition, the UGA depends on groundwater resources for its potable water. One of Jefferson County's overall goals in designating the UGA ~~is~~**must be** to ensure that development of the UGA doesn't degrade these resources. Development of a ~~Port Hadlock~~ UGA Storm and Surface Water Management Public Education Program will be an important component of meeting this goal. **An anticipated effect of the Program is to provide residents and business owners with timely reminders of the role they play and the effect they have on water quality in the UGA.**

Port Hadlock UGA Storm and Surface Water Management Public Education Program Goals

Use public education and outreach activities to:

- Increase understanding of stormwater and surface water management issues as they relate to the UGA;
- Generate support for protecting water quality and aquatic resources including Chimacum Creek salmon and shellfish in Port Townsend Bay; and
- Increase compliance with UGA stormwater management regulations.

Strategies

- Jefferson County will work with the Jefferson County – WSU Cooperative Extension, Jefferson County Conservation District, and Jefferson County Public Utility District to develop and implement an **Irondale and Port Hadlock UGA Storm and Surface Water Management Program Public Education Program.**
- The Public Education Program will include:
 - Program identity, themes, and logo;
 - Educational and outreach activities;
 - A library of educational materials, brochures or fact sheets;
 - Alternative information sources such as web sites, **community news letter,** bumper stickers, and refrigerator magnets; **and**
 - Partnerships with community and environmental groups, public schools, and the Jefferson County Library.;
- The Public Education Program will adapt existing public education materials developed by other sources (ex. WSU, Jefferson County Conservation District, WA Department of Ecology, WA Department of Fish and Wildlife), to specific **Irondale and Port Hadlock UGA** issues and conditions.
- The Public Education Program will identify groups within the UGA to target for public education activities including school children, business owners, the Chamber of Commerce, community groups, Chimacum Creek landowners, and the Port Hadlock Marina and boat owners.

- The Public Education Program will develop ways to measure the effectiveness of public education and outreach activities and adapt the program to increase its effectiveness.

Activities

The following Public Education Program activities are based the NPDES Phase II Public Education and Outreach guidelines and the Draft County-wide Surface Water Management Plan Public Education Program developed by Jefferson County – WSU Cooperative Extension:

- Inform Outreach to community organizations, the Chamber of Commerce, and business owners about regarding the relationship between Chimacum Creek salmon, groundwater resources, and urban stormwater management;
- Chimacum Creek Primary School programs such as salmon, forests, and Chimacum Creek;
- Community event participation, including Hadlock Days and Wild Olympic Salmon Festival;
- Signing Chimacum Creek as a salmon stream;
- Catch basin stenciling: “Dump No Waste – Drains to Ground Water” and “Dump No Waste – Drains to the Bay”;
- Inform the public about the importance of waste oil recycling and that waste oil is collected by Jefferson County Public Works solid waste and recycling program at the Port Hadlock Marina.
- Inform homeowners regarding appropriate fertilizer and pesticide use and household hazardous waste reduction and disposal
- Outreach Inform eh to Chimacum Creek land owners regarding maintaining and restoring salmon habitat;
- Port Hadlock Marina: Marina and boater education programs and signing best management practices;
- Urban stormwater management displays at the Jefferson County Library;

- Use handouts in PUD water bills to educate residents and business owners regarding the relationship between stormwater runoff, household and business pollution prevention, and safe drinking water.
- Work with Jefferson County JC-WSU to recruit and train volunteer educators.

WATER QUALITY MONITORING AND STREAM GAUGING

Typically stormwater management requirements are significantly different in urban areas compared to rural or suburban areas. There are significantly larger areas of impervious surface coverage and higher volumes of runoff. There are also typically higher concentrations of pollutants in stormwater runoff. In the case of the Irondale and Port Hadlock UGA, its location in proximity to Chimacum Creek and Port Townsend Bay and reliance on groundwater as its drinking water source create the potential for significant impacts related to stormwater runoff. A stormwater management program therefore requires not only providing a full complement of structural and non-structural controls, but also conducting an on-going monitoring program to measure its effectiveness. If the monitoring program detects water quality degradation or increased stormwater flows, the program can be reassessed and modified. This could include adding additional capital facilities or program activities or revising UGA land use designations or development regulations. Such a program is typically termed adaptive management.

Jefferson County Natural Resources Division currently maintains two stream gauges on Chimacum Creek, one upstream from the UGA and one downstream from Irondale Road. This activity is currently funded by a grant from the Washington Department of Ecology.

Jefferson County Conservation District conducts water quality monitoring at several locations on Chimacum Creek including Irondale Road and the SR 19 bridge in Chimacum. Parameters include dissolved oxygen, temperature, conductivity, pH, nitrate-nitrogen, total suspended solids, turbidity, and fecal coliform.

BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

In most communities a major source of stormwater contamination comes from sources that are lumped together and called non-point pollution. Non-point pollution sources can generally be defined as “pollution that does not have a single point of discharge.” Non-point pollution discharges can be divided into commercial and residential categories.

The treatment of stormwater runoff prior to discharge to surface water or prevention of non-point pollution in stormwater should be accomplished by using Best Management Practices (BMPs). Best Management Practices are defined as physical, structural, and/or managerial practices, which when used singly or in combination, prevent or reduce pollution of water.

~~The DOE Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington~~ contains BMPs for urban land uses. Best Management Practices can be placed into two general groups: source control BMPs, and runoff treatment BMPs. The former group includes those BMPs; ~~that which~~ keep ~~a~~ pollutants from ~~ever~~ coming in contact with stormwater; the latter group consists of ~~various~~ methods ~~o~~for treating stormwater. Source control BMPs are preferred as they are generally less expensive and frequently are ~~more~~very effective ~~in eliminating the source of pollution prior to its entry into runoff.~~

~~The DOE Washington State Department of Ecology Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington~~ lists many types of BMPs; and ~~provides some~~ general strategies for their use. ~~The strategies~~ are listed below in order of preference:

Alter the activity: The preferred option is to alter any practice that may contaminate surface water or groundwater by either not producing the pollutant to begin with or by controlling it in such a way as to keep it out of the environment. An example would be recycling used oil rather than dumping it down a storm drain.

~~licit or unintentional connection of indoor drains to the storm drain, rather than to the sanitary or process sewer is a significant source of stormwater contamination. It is important that these connections are identified and corrected.~~

Enclose the activity: If the practice cannot be altered, it should be enclosed in a building. Enclosure accomplishes two things. It keeps rain from coming into contact with the activity; and since drains inside a building must discharge to sanitary or process wastewater sewers or a dead-end sump, any contamination of runoff is avoided.

Cover the activity: Placing the activity inside a building may be infeasible or prohibitively expensive. A less expensive structure with only a roof may be effective although it may not keep out all precipitation. Internal drains must be connected to the sanitary sewer to collect water used to wash down the area as well as any rain that may enter along the perimeter.

Segregate the activity: Segregating an activity that generates more pollutants than other activities may lower the cost of enclosure or covering to a reasonable level.

If the segregated activity cannot be covered, it may be possible in certain situations to connect the area to the public sanitary sewer, subject to approval. Drains may also be connected to a businesses' own process wastewater system if the business operates independently of the local authority.

Discharge stormwater to the process wastewater treatment system: Many industries have their own process wastewater treatment system with final disposal directly to the receiving water. In these cases, stormwater from areas of significant pollution sources can be plumbed to the process treatment system as long as its capacity is not exceeded.

Discharge small, high frequency storms to public sanitary sewer: This BMP would be limited to those few outside activities that contribute unusually high concentrations of pollutants and/or pollutants of unusual concern. Limited entry of these few special cases may not overtax the public sanitary sewer.

The entry of stormwater to the sanitary or combined sewer can be limited to the small high-frequency storms that carry off the majority of pollutants over time. Storm flows in excess of the hydraulic capacity of the sanitary or combined sewer would be discharged to the storm drain.

Discharge small, high frequency storms to a dead-end sump: This BMP would be limited to those few activities that contribute unusually high concentrations of pollutants and/or pollutants of unusual concern. This option would be used when discharge into a sanitary sewer or process wastewater treatment is not available or feasible. This option requires the capacity to pump out the sump regularly and to dispose of the pumpage in an appropriate manner.

Treat the stormwater with a stormwater treatment BMP: The treatment of stormwater is the least-preferred option for several reasons. Source control BMPs keep the pollutants completely away from stormwater. In contrast, stormwater treatment devices are not 100 percent effective. In fact, a highly effective BMP is considered successful if 80 percent of the pollutants are removed. Even after treatment, freshwater criteria may not be met for commercial areas.

Given the above strategies for use of BMPs, DOE has developed mandatory BMPs for many different business groups. Section 2.2 and Appendix IV-A of Volume IV in the DOE *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* lists each group of business in the following way:

- Title of business group
- Standard Industrial Code (SIC)
- Description of business activities
- Potential pollution generating sources
- Pollutant Control Approach
- Applicable Operation BMPs
- Applicable Structural Control BMPs

The source control BMPs are found in Volume IV, in numerical order, in the DOE *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington*. Descriptions of regulations that are specifically referenced can be found in Appendix IV-D, and any stormwater

treatment BMPs required can be found in Volume V, Runoff Treatment BMPs. Ecology has recently recommended implementing oil control measures for “high use areas.” These areas include:

- An area of a commercial or industrial site subject to an expected average daily traffic count equal to or greater than 100 vehicles per 1,000 square feet of gross building area,
- An area of a commercial or industrial site subject to petroleum storage and transfer in excess of 1,500 gallons per year, not including routinely delivered heating oil,
- An area of a commercial or industrial site subject to parking, storage or maintenance of 25 or more vehicles that are over 10 tons gross weight,
- A road intersection with a measured average daily traffic count of 25,000 vehicles or more on the main roadway and 15,000 vehicles or more on any intersection roadway, excluding projects proposing primarily pedestrian or bicycle use improvements.

Providing treatment under the oil control menu is just one of four treatment menus that Ecology has provided in Chapter 3 of the Stormwater Manual. The other three include a basic, enhanced, and phosphorus treatment menu. However, as noted earlier, source control BMPs are preferred over treatment BMPs, if feasible.

CHAPTER 7

NONPOINT SOURCE POLLUTION CONTROL

General Considerations in Urban Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control——

Stormwater Quality versus Quantity Control

Construction Phase versus Long-term Site Operation Phase

Structural versus Nonstructural Controls——

Source Control versus Downstream Treatment——

Control in New versus Existing Developments——

Control of Acute versus Chronic Impacts——

Special Sensitive Area Considerations——

Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Structural Alternatives——

Low impact development——

Infiltration Devices——

Directly Connected Impervious Area

Storage and Regulated Release——

Swales and Filter Strips——

Parking Blocks——

Structural Alternatives——

Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Non-Structural Alternatives——

Facilities Maintenance——

Staff training——

Changes to Municipal Codes and Regulations——15

Enforcement——

Public Involvement and Education——

General Public Activity——

Port Hadlock UGA Stormwater Management Plan Public Education and Outreach

Program——17

Port Hadlock UGA Storm and Surface Water Management Public Education Program

Goals——18

Strategies——18

Activities——19

Best Management Practices——20

General Considerations in Urban Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control——1

Stormwater Quality versus Quantity Control 1

Construction Phase versus Long-term Site Operation Phase 2

Structural versus Nonstructural Controls——2

Source Control versus Downstream Treatment——2

Control in New versus Existing Developments——3

Control of Acute versus Chronic Impacts——3

Special Sensitive Area Considerations——3

Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Structural Alternatives——3

Low impact development——4

Infiltration Devices——5

Directly Connected Impervious Area 6

Storage and Regulated Release — 7
Swales and Filter Strips — 8
Parking Blocks — 9
Structural Alternatives — 10
Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Non-Structural Alternatives — 10
Facilities Maintenance — 11
Staff training — 14
Changes to Municipal Codes and Regulations — 15
Enforcement — 15
Public Involvement and Education — 15
Port Hadlock UGA Stormwater Management Plan Public Education and Outreach Program — 18
Port Hadlock UGA Storm and Surface Water Management Public Education Program Goals — 18
Strategies — 19
Activities — 19
Best Management Practices — 20
General Considerations in Urban Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control — 1
Stormwater Quality versus Quantity Control — 1
Construction Phase versus Long-term Site Operation Phase — 2
Structural versus Nonstructural Controls — 2
Source Control versus Downstream Treatment — 2
Control in New versus Existing Developments — 3
Control of Acute versus Chronic Impacts — 3
Special Sensitive Area Considerations — 3
Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Structural Alternatives — 3
Low-impact development — 4
Storage and Regulated Release — 5
Directly Connected Impervious Area — 6
Swales and Filter Strips — 6
Parking Blocks — 7
Infiltration Devices — 8
Structural Alternatives — 10
Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Non-Structural Alternatives — 10
Facilities Maintenance — 11
Staff training — 14
Changes to Municipal Codes and Regulations — 15
Enforcement — 15
Public Involvement and Education — 15
Best Management Practices — 18
General Considerations in Urban Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control — 1
Stormwater Quality versus Quantity Control — 1
Construction Phase versus Long-term Site Operation Phase — 2
Structural versus Nonstructural Controls — 2

Jefferson County — 7-39
Irondale & Port Hadlock UGA Stormwater Management Plan — 7-3
Comprehensive Stormwater Management Plan — May-October 2003

<u>Source Control versus Downstream Treatment</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Control in New versus Existing Developments</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Control of Acute versus Chronic Impacts</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Special Sensitive Area Considerations</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Structural Alternatives</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Low impact development</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Storage and Regulated Release</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Directly Connected Impervious Area</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Swales and Filter Strips</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Parking Blocks</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Infiltration Devices</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Structural Alternatives</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Non-Structural Alternatives</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Facilities Maintenance</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Staff training</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Changes to Municipal Codes and Regulations</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Enforcement</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Public Involvement and Education</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Best Management Practices</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>General Considerations in Urban Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Stormwater Quality versus Quantity Control</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Construction Phase versus Long-term Site Operation Phase</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Structural versus Nonstructural Controls</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Source Control versus Downstream Treatment</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Control in New versus Existing Developments</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Control of Acute versus Chronic Impacts</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Special Sensitive Area Considerations</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Structural Alternatives</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Low impact development</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Storage and Regulated Release</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Directly Connected Impervious Area</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Swales and Filter Strips</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Parking Blocks</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Infiltration Devices</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>Structural Alternatives</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>Stormwater Quantity and Quality Control: Non-Structural Alternatives</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Facilities Maintenance</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Staff training</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Changes to Municipal Codes and Regulations</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Enforcement</u>	<u>14</u> <u>15</u>
<u>Public Involvement and Education</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>Best Management Practices</u>	<u>17</u> <u>18</u>